ATTACHMENT
Interview Summary

Sughrue
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

FAX

Date	May 13, 2010
To	Examiner Daniel C. Murray
Of	USPTO
Fax	571-270-2773
From	Ebenesar Thomas
Subject	Agenda for Telephone Interview
Our Ref	Q76276

Your Ref   USSN 10/629,682

Pages	3

(including cover sheet)

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

ATTACHMENT
Interview Summary

Application No.: 10/629,682   *FOR DISCUSSION ONLY, DO NOT ENTER*
Attorney. Docket No.: Q76276

Agenda for the Telephone Interview

Date / time / location

     May 20, 2010 1:30 P.M.

Introductions

     Applicant's representatives: Ebenesar Thomas (Reg. No.: 62,499)
                                (202) 857-3235

     USPTO Examiners: Daniel C. Murray   (571)270-1773
                               George C. Neurauter   (571)272-3918

It is Applicant's intention that such an interview will lead to an agreeable resolution of the rejected claims.

During the interview Applicants' representative will point out that each and every feature of claim 1 is not disclosed in Moyer and McGuire.

First, Applicant's representative would point out that Moyer does not teach or suggest that the service template (cited in the office action as corresponding to the implementation rules) comprises the device template (cited in the office action as corresponding to the technology and equipment rules).

Second, Applicant's representative would show that the device template of Moyer only provides the capabilities and how to configure the device. But, there is no teaching or suggestion of technology rules, as recited in claim 1.

Third, Applicant's representative would show that according to Moyer, the adaptor module translates the vendor-neutral device configuration settings generated using service template to vendor-specific device configures settings. However, this does not teach or that the

1

ATTACHMENT
Interview Summary

Application No.: 10/629,682   *FOR DISCUSSION ONLY, DO NOT ENTER*
Attorney. Docket No.: Q76276

device template (cited in the office action for corresponding to technology rules) determining

which technology to use <u>based on the equipment</u> in the network.

      With regard to claim 13, Applicant representative would point out that that Moyer does

not teach or suggest the features of "the equipment rules are used to model how the technology

rules must be selected for a particular equipment type."

2